When Paulinus a S. Bartholomaeo arrived in Pondicherry aboard *Lamaible Nanette* on 25 July 1776, the scene was set for another missionary life in south India. As he described two decades later in his *Viaggio alle Indie Orientali*, for thirteen years, he worked hard in his mission among the Syrian or St Thomas Christians, travelled in the Malabar region, met people from the lowest fishermen to Dutch administrators and the kings of Travancore. Written with hindsight, of course, *Viaggio* documents as much Paulinus’ achievements as his desires and deceptions. The choice of Italian, instead of a scholarly Latin of his numerous books written and published upon return to Europe, is undoubtedly a sign that he tried to reach a wider audience. In the same way, the title he chose pointed to a particular genre of writing—a travelogue—developed in the course of almost three centuries during which Italians and other Europeans travelled to and came back with stories about the Orient.

A missionary life, if one studies prosopographies and hagiographies of the Catholic missionaries in the early modern period, consisted of two or three stages. The first is the stage before entering the order or leaving for a mission. In the hagiographies it is a time of evangelical preparation endowed with premonitions, miracles and prophetic dreams, and the visions of future. The life in the mission is a heroic period of intense social interaction, politics, learning languages, a holy fatigue and an eventual martyrdom. For some, but not all, there is a third stage of return back to Europe or to some quiet place with a possibility of writing down the recollections and reflecting on the mission fieldwork.
Paulinus a S. Bartholomaeo returned to Europe via Brest only to find that after a difficult transoceanic passage he had to deal with another ‘evil’ the French Revolution. In his opinion, it was at that point, while travelling through the devastation in France during the Revolution that he started ‘thinking about countries and nations that [he] saw and comparing them with Europeans, my dear compatriots’. In a melancholic statement, Paulinus both condemned and extolled Europe’s achievements. For him, China and India were regions with gentile and gentle people governed by simple laws. With stable governments, their religion and customs remained unchanged. Europe on the other hand was ‘inconstant’ and politically volatile, part of which he attributed to the influx of the ferocious Scythians from the cold climates. The originary inconstancy was responsible for multiplication of laws and the fact that ‘people are easily duped’ by novelties (novità) and liberty (libertà), ‘the two new idols’. One of the consequences of this unbound mobility of the Europeans who also became conquerors of the rest of the world, and thus developed excellent armies, was that they acquired ‘one little advantage’ compared to Asia. ‘This advantage lies in arts and sciences’. And yet, Paulinus tried to prove in all his texts that these arts and sciences came originally from India. The Europeans only perfected them. His mission was, therefore, to rescue the ancient wisdom of the Indian arts and sciences from the forces of historical corruption (and oblivion) and to save the Indians from the ‘darkness of ignorance’ in which they continue to live without the Christian message.

Paulinus’ predicament lies right here. In what follows I will try to show that, from what we can discern in his published books written upon return to Rome, Paulinus was torn between two opposing tasks. On the one hand, he was a professional missionary even when he worked as a professor of oriental languages at the mission seminary of the Propaganda Fide (Collegio Urbano) in Rome and published books for the use of the future missionaries. In addition, he also considered himself a scholar and an Orientalist. The problem was that he felt, and rightly so, that his expertise was not taken all that seriously by his peers, especially by the British and French students of Indian languages and culture. In a way, he was at the end of the line of a series of the Catholic ‘missionary Orientalists’, from the learned Jesuits who arrived in the sixteenth century to the Discalced Carmelites,

By the early nineteenth century a new kind of professional, the colonial scholar-administrator, entered the Orientalist scene and captured it for the next century and a half. The formation of the Asiatic Society in 1784 that organized scientific discussions and lectures, which were then quickly published in the *Asiatic Researches* became the arenas in which one's scholarly worth was measured and evaluated. The founding of the College of Fort William in 1800 gave a new educational dimension to the study of Indian languages and culture. Thomas Trautmann called it a 'titanic shift of authority'.

As all scientific societies and institutions, especially those on the rise, the Asiatic Society and its members were exclusionary and filtered out everything and everybody who did not comply with the established or imagined rules, paradigms, and norms of 'scientificity'. Paulinus, obviously, did not fit and knew it. He did not fit because he was a Catholic missionary and because his 'Indological' sources were from South India. Upon return to Europe, through publication of his books and in his ample correspondence, Paulinus fought against the British Orientalists and their authority in the field of Orientalist studies. He was in particular angry with William Jones who was, he must have sensed, his scholarly doppelgänger. It has been remarked that he never mentioned Jones by name in any of his works, although he quoted liberally from his texts, until 1795. For example, in *Systema Brahmanticum*, he quoted Govardhan Caul (Kaul) and failed to mention that it was Jones who 'officially' translated the text from Sanskrit. However, after Jones's death in 1794, Paulinus miraculously resurrected his name and it gained in honorific titles with each of Paulinus' new publications. By 1799, Jones was extolled as 'famous' and as the 'President of the Calcutta Academy'. Paulinus was himself quite keen on displaying his own scholarly titles. They appear on the front page of all his printed books. On the *Monumenti indici del museo Naniano*, printed in Padua in 1799, we read that in addition to being *Carmelitano Scalzo*, he was *Professore di Lingue Orientali, sindico delle Missioni Asiatiche et Socio Academico di Velletri, e di Napoli*. In Rome, his incredible erudition and expertise in Indian languages did not sit all too well with the fact that he was a monk and a former missionary. From a letter written by the Secretary of the
Propaganda in 1790, Paulinus was described as a very learned man, but a bad character and even bad ‘religious’ character. The Secretary (Giulio de Carpineo) decided not to send him back to India, in spite of Paulinus’s ardent desire, but to let him publish his works all the while keeping him under surveillance. It was, nevertheless, a former secretary of the Propaganda, Cardinal Stefano Borgia, who provided the best possible venue for Paulinus’s scholarly ambitions. He was invited to organize the Indian collection in Borgia’s Museum in Velletri. As an editor, archivist and museum curator, Paulinus single-handedly invented and practiced his own Orientalist ‘sciences’. Without learned Brahmans to sit by his side, as they did sit by the side of the Calcutta Orientalists, Paulinus listened to his museum archives full of manuscripts and objects brought from India by his missionary predecessors and by himself. These codices and objects were the authorities on which he relied and this is why he spent a great amount of time and energy on trying to preserve, describe, classify and publish them.

**COLLECTING NecessE EST**

Arrival in India was for most of the missionaries, Paulinus included, a breaking point in their life and in their life narratives (autobiographies and hagiographies). Besides cultivating the mission field, collecting curious objects and manuscripts, taking notes and writing letters were also considered an important part of their missionary tasks. Paulinus was from the beginning a diligent collector and writer. In the department of rare manuscripts of the Biblioteca Nazionale Vittorio Emmanuele in Rome there are numerous boxes and folders (scatole and buste) of Paulinus’s various letters and notes. Some are written in his own hand, some are by anonymous scribes, and there are cut-outs from printed books or other manuscripts. These notes are in various languages. Some are exercise notebooks of Chaldean, Armenian, Arabic, Tamil, Malayalam, and Grantha alphabets. Some of these documents were written for the local use and consumption such as Christian catechetical and pious texts in Malayalam or Manipravalam for his parishioners and charges as well as, perhaps, to convert local literate castes. He also wrote a grammar-manual for learning English through Malayalam and Portuguese. Paulinus was commissioned to write it by the king of Travancore, Rama Varma.
Good relations with the kings of Travancore Martanda Varma and his son Rama Varma, and with the Dutch in Kochi were crucial for the survival of the Verapoly (Varapuzha) mission of the Propaganda Fide and the Discalced Carmelites. Until the seventeenth century all Catholic missions in India were part of the Portuguese royal patronage network (padroado). However, with the establishment of the Congregation for the Propagation of Faith (Propaganda Fide) in 1622, the Papacy took over under its own wing all the territories in partibus infidelium left uncovered by the Portuguese padroado. Since the missionaries sent by the Propaganda Fide were recruited in Rome and from missionary orders that had no allegiance to the Portuguese king, the Estado da Índia and the Portuguese authorities in Goa often treated them as enemies. The Discalced Carmelites came to India in order to replace the Jesuits who were the first missionaries sent to work on the reformation of what was considered as schismatic liturgy and customs of the St Thomas or Syrian Christians in Kerala. When the Dutch captured Kochi in 1662, all Catholic missionaries were expelled and even the first Carmelite Giuseppe di Santa Maria Sebastiani had to leave after appointing as his successor a Syrian Christian priest, Parambil Chandy alias Alexander de Campo. Upon return to Rome, Sebastiani inaugurated the first in a series of publications by the missionaries of his order in Kerala. In addition, the Propaganda Fide supported all missionary projects by way of its polyglot printing-office. The access to the printing press is a crucial element in Paulinus’s Orientalist enterprise in Rome.

For their passage to India, the Propaganda missionaries used French carriers and this is why Paulinus’s Viaggio starts in Pondicherry, the capital of the French colonies in India and ends in the French harbour of Brest thirteen years later. Although he never travelled to Goa, Paulinus did have contacts with the rival padroado missionaries and collected manuscripts and notes written by the Jesuits who were the first ‘missionary Orientalists’ in India. His most precious manuscript was the Sanskrit grammar written by a Jesuit, Johann Ernst Hanxleden. When it was suggested that he had plagiarized Hanxleden’s work, he responded in his De manuscriptis codicibus indicis printed in Vienna in 1799, that they both used the same Sanskrit sources.

Most of the early Propaganda Fide missionaries in Kerala were keen students and collectors of manuscripts and of natural objects.
Possessing and collecting nature was part and parcel of the late Renaissance and Baroque culture that defined the civil space of the Italian elite. The members of the religious orders in Rome participated in this common enterprise of unveiling the secrets of natural history. Before ending on display or in the drawers, chests, and boxes of the cabinet of curiosities, the objects had to be collected through travel, exchange or purchase. The missionaries were among the agents employed in this enterprise. Besides objects themselves, what they often brought were their representations (pictures, descriptions) or remains and traces of the natural objects (*horti secchi*, dried plant or flower specimens, for example).

Vicenzo Maria di Santa Caterina da Siena (alias Antonio Murchio) wrote a travelogue called *Il Viaggio all’Indie Orientali* with a long excursus in the Book IV on the Malabar plants. Another missionary Matteo di San Giuseppe who remained in Malabar until his death in 1691, collected during his life time in India information on plants, seeds, and medicinal remedies. His expertise must have been well known in Kochi and it attracted attention of a Dutch amateur natural scientist and a Commissioner General of the VOC (the Dutch East India Company) in Malabar, Hendrik Adriaan van Rheede tot Drakenstein (1637–91). The result of their collaboration was Van Rheede’s monumental *Hortus Indicus Malabaricus* published in Amsterdam between 1678 and 1703, and a grant of a church in Chatiath (Vaduthala) for the Carmelites.

Just like Jesuits before them, Discalced Carmelites were sent into their missionary field as general experts in ‘conversion’ and pastoral care. However, some of them cultivated on the side other type of skills. For example Matteo di San Giuseppe was an Arabist, botanist, and a good draughtsman. Before his arrival to Kerala, Paulinus studied oriental languages in Rome. That the study of nature and of languages in India was an important part of the Carmelite mission enterprise in Kerala is evident from the titles of the books the missionaries printed upon return to Europe and from the manuscripts they left unpublished in the archives. In this respect they were not unique, since most of the Orientalists in the eighteenth century were interested both in botany and in languages. Moreover, the study of nature and the study of languages followed the same line of reasoning at least in terms of understanding morphological and etymological structures.
Carey, a Baptist missionary, Orientalist, and a professor of Oriental languages in the Fort William College in Calcutta was also an amateur botanist who edited and published William Roxburgh's *Flora Indica; or Descriptions of Indian Plants*.33

William Jones was also interested in botany, which was his 'principal amusement' together with 'the conversation with the pundits, with whom I talk fluently in the language of the Gods'.34 The two contemporaries—Paulinus a S. Bartholomaeo, a Catholic missionary in Kerala and William Jones, an enlightened Orientalist in Calcutta—each within their own cultural milieu, were therefore chasing the same scientific paradigm and the same Christian teleology. They both tried to preserve their vision of the Christian world guaranteed by the Bible and the structure of the Mosaic ethnology.35

One of the crucial differences between these two early scholars of India was the manner in which they handled and organized their 'research' data and material evidence, and in the cultural and social context in which the constituted data were available for scholarly consumption. For Jones and the other Orientalists, the newly conquered Bengal and its capital Calcutta provided a fertile space for setting up all the institutions indispensable for scholarly activities—a learned society, a journal, a college and an informal, ever growing pool of local literati ready to be employed.36

Paulinus a Sancto Bartolomaeo, on the other hand, rarely had the opportunity of enjoying and profiting from a closely-knit scientific community during his stay in India. Residing in India resembled more a fieldwork period in which he collected materials rather than studying them in depth. In a way, he was collecting specimens for his future museum, presumably somewhere in the European Catholic world. Knowledge of India was, therefore, to be constituted outside of its borders. In Paulinus's case—in a fixed and framed public space of the Papal court.

MUSEUM IN PRINT

It was upon return to Europe in 1789 that Paulinus had a chance to put his notes in order and think about a larger picture for his scholarly ambitions. For the next seventeen years he published twenty-six (or more) books and articles on a wide variety of topics, from catalogues
of various museum collections, learned treatises and grammars to short polemical papers in comparative linguistics.

Paulinus sifted through, classified, pruned, and prepared for print documents and materials from his prodigious manuscript collection, including his fieldwork diaries. Within the five years that he spent in Rome as a Professor of Oriental Languages at the Propaganda Fide Mission seminary, he had managed to publish eight books: a Sanskrit grammar, *Sidharubam seu Grammatica Samscrdamica* (1790), a synthetic treatise on religious and civil organization in Brahmanic India, *Systema Brahmanicum Liturgicum, Mythologicum, Civile, ex Monumentis Indicis Musei Borgiani Veltris, Dissertationibus historico-criticis* (1791), a history of Christianity in India *India Orientalis Christiana* (1794), a few works on various South Asian alphabets and proverbs, and two catalogues/inventories of Oriental manuscripts and objects, one for the Propaganda Fide and the other for the Museum in Velletri.

What used to be scattered, though copious notes from the mission took shape under various titles and topics. *Viaggio alle Indie Orientali* was not simply an effort at capturing a larger public, but also a way of quickly storing information that Paulinus probably knew he would not have enough time to organize in the near future and that may thus be forgotten in the archives. Publishing *Viaggio* was also a way of inscribing himself onto a long list of travelogue writers about India, and to remind his readers of his first-hand authority in things Indian.

Describing the Indian climate, illnesses, plants, and remedies was an authenticating topos in most of the travel literature on India. The hot and humid ‘torrid zone’ had a reputation, often copied from one printed book to another, of crushing Europeans under its weight. At the same time, it was also considered as extremely rich in remedies and medicinal plants. Just like his predecessors, Vincenzo Maria di Santa Caterina da Siena, Matteo di San Giuseppe, and others, Paulinus collected a huge amount of medical and botanical information and included only a part of it in his *Viaggio*, a museum in print.

In one of the most dramatic opening scenes of the *Viaggio*, the readers are compelled to witness and ponder over a somewhat ironic episode in which printed books, dangers of Indian climate, and the utility of Indian remedies are brought together in an exemplary fashion. When he was still in Pondicherry before reaching his mission in Kerala, Paulinus encountered the famous white ants or termites
responsible for the widespread and indiscriminate destruction of wood, textile and paper:

I kept my stuff in my room in a chest. One day after lunch I opened it to take a book that I wanted to read, and as soon as it was open, I saw an infinite throng of small white animals that the Tamils, that is the inhabitants of Ciòlamandala call Carea and the Malabars Cedel.\(^{37}\)

Not without a tinge of amusement, Paulinus admitted that among the things he had lost to the ants were some of his clothes and a theological book by Padre Gazzaniga.\(^{38}\) The story of white ants called immediately another story, a story of earwig or millipede (\textit{una centipeda o centogambe}). While the ants attacked things, the earwig menaced human beings by getting into the ear and ‘biting its way out, and without finding one, heading straight in’. The servant attacked by the insect was so much in pain that he ‘hit his head and his feet against the floor, screamed, and went around in frenzy’.

This dramatic scene, however, ends happily since a certain Signor Jallaber applied a small spoonful of \textit{droga amara} (bitter drug) and cured the servant. Paulinus is obsessed with recording and collecting factual information. Thus he gives the exact contents of the preparation with measures in ounces. ‘For one pitcher of 24 French bottles, it is necessary to take 24 ounces of \textit{Resina}, or \textit{Calafonia}, 12 ounces of incense, 4 ounces of aloe, 4 ounces of \textit{Mirra}, and 4 ounces of \textit{Calumba}’. The mixture, according to Paulinus was an excellent remedy for all kinds of illnesses that come from corruption such as indigestion, wounds, labor pains, ulcers, worms, scurvy, et cetera.\(^{39}\)

However, properly assembled and condensed data on Indian botany and medicine is gathered in one place at the end of the book, in chapter eleven of the Second Part. While the first part of the book resembles, at least in the beginning, a travel narrative, the second part is mostly structured as a museum credenza or a filing cabinet. Thus we find eleven chapters discussing birth and education, marriage customs, laws, classes and tribes, ministries and tribunals, languages, religion and gods, Indian ‘hieroglyphs’, division of time, calendar and festivals, music, poetry, architecture, and finally climate, botany, and remedies.

There is nothing surprising in this particular division of topics since it has been worked out in detail and with variations during the two centuries of missionary writings about the manners and customs
of the peoples they encountered in the whole world. Within each category of phenomena there were further subdivisions in more or less pronounced order of importance. In between his direct comments, anecdotes, and opinions, we find long lists of various things that can be listed. Paulinus’s favourite game throughout the Viaggio as well as in his other printed works and in his correspondence was to castigate careless, imprecise, and ignorant authors writing about India. His belligerent and self-righteous tone antagonized some of his readers, especially those whom he mercilessly ridiculed. It is no wonder that the Orientalists, especially the British, returned fire with the same scornful words. Thus, J.R. Forster, who translated into German two of Paulinus’s books—Systema Brahmanicum and Viaggio—mentioned that he had to correct some unclear Latin sentences in the first and factual errors in the second book. Knowledge about India was, obviously contested and with each new publication, new mastery over Indian realia and spiritualia was claimed from various Orientalist camps. As long as one was a target of such scholarly attacks, one counted for something in the Orientalist circles. The end of the line for Paulinus was probably the publication of the French translation of his Viaggio. Translated from Italian by a mysterious Mr M., the text also contained comments by J.R. Forster, by Anquetil Duperron who died before completing the annotation of the manuscript, and by Antoine Isaac Silvestre de Sacy who had a final word on all authors.40 By the time the Voyage aux Indes orientales appeared in 1808, Paulinus had been dead for two years.41

Although Paulinus rooted his authority, as most missionaries did, in his direct experience of what he saw ‘with his own eyes’ during his travels and residence (1776–89) in South Asia, in the chapter on Indian botany and medicine he makes a special mention of the manuscripts and books that he consulted or had in his possession. It is this material on paper, either manuscripts or ‘many paintings from Malabar made by a Malabar physician’, that Paulinus proudly puts forward as the ultimate basis and a guarantee of his narrative. ‘I have [a text of the] Brahmanical Medicine translated from Sanskrit by Father Giovanni Alvarez and enlarged by Father G. Ernesto Hanxleden, a Jesuit.’42

In one of the scatole (boxes) full of Paulinus’ manuscripts preserved today in the Biblioteca Nazionale Vittorio Emanuelle (Rome), there is a folder (busta) called Botanica Malabar. The largest and the best preserved document in the folder is a notebook in Portuguese
describing Indian plants and their medicinal properties. The entire text (ca. 60 pages) is written in one hand with corrections in another ink. The corrections were only made for names in Malayalam script. It is possible that this was the manuscript Paulinus referred to in the Viaggio. But next to it in the same folder, there are his chaotic notes, clippings from books or printed sheets, a crude drawing of a palm tree on a transparent white paper. The pieces are of all sizes and some of them bear signs of earlier calamities such as water, humidity, and fire. A printed sheet in French reads, ‘Les Vertus d’une eau de mélisse composée... par le carme dechaussé de Paris, ... contre l’apoplesie et les vapeurs.’ Another printed page in Italian is entitled, ‘Balsamo Samaritano’. There is also a handwritten recipe for preparing the droga amara concoction. We can glimpse from the contents of this folder—and it is only one folder in one of the numerous boxes—at the insatiable interest in knowing and collecting. What he really and passionately collected were not things, nor medico-botanical specimens, but words, especially words that could take one back in history to the very source of human civilization.

SANSKRIT

Sanskrit, the scholarly language (la lingua dotta) of the Indians became Paulinus’s obsession, as it became for most of the Orientalists and Indologists in the nineteenth century. By the time he returned to Europe, he was already an accomplished Sanskritist in spite of unfriendly and unfair remarks by some British Orientalists who denounced him as a fraud. Alexander Hamilton thought that Paulinus’s Sanskrit dictionary was ‘a dictionary of the Malabar idiom, which bears the same relation to the Sanscrit that Italian does to Latin’. It is clear today that the misunderstanding came from the fact that Paulinus transcribed Sanskrit words from the Grantha script and under the influence of Dravidian phonology, and into the Italian orthography. As for the British Sanskritists in Calcutta, they were taught by the Bengali pundits and transliterated Sanskrit into English.

Paulinus was perfectly aware that the transcription and transliteration of Indian languages remained a problem.

The Europeans, Arabs, Persians, Greeks who do not understand Indian language, try to pronounce or write Indian words with the same
corruption as dictated by their spirit (genio) and secondly according to
the pronunciation of their country (patria) which leads to another
corruption that changes and transforms and corrupts in everything and
in part the true native Indian name. 47

Paulinus, of course, intended to correct all the names bastardized
by the foreigners (stranieri) and travellers (viaggiatori) and to standardize
their pronunciation according to the Italian orthography. Thus, he
claimed Coromandel should be spelled Ciòlamandala. Taking up
William Jones’s opinion that ‘[our] English alphabet and orthography
are disgracefully, and almost ridiculously, imperfect’, Paulinus adds
his own more devastating appraisal. 48 ‘The English alphabet is not
only imperfect but plainly ridiculous when it comes to expressing
Indian nouns, they horribly corrupt them when writing them in that
alphabet.’ 49 But, of course, the way history unfolded, these linguistic
decisions were not left to the Italians.

In his Sanskrit grammar, Sidharuban seu Grammatica Samscrdamica
printed only a year after his return to Rome in 1790, Paulinus wrote
a veritable panegyric to ‘this language of the ancient sages of India’.
For Paulinus, Sanskrit was a kind of omnimedia for storing and
generating culture. First of all, it ‘possessed all conceivable words’, it
had ‘unlimited abundance of nouns and verbs’ and it was ‘the most
adequate medium for discussing any subject whatsoever’. 50 He was
not the first Catholic missionary who admired Sanskrit and dreamt of
making it a perfect receptacle for Christian message, often called a
‘local Latin’. 51 In south India, Roberto Nobili (1577–1656) in the
early seventeenth century started writing Christian literature in
Sanskrit and in Sanskritized Tamil. 52 Independently, Heinrich Roth
(1620–68), a Jesuit missionary at the Mughal court, was equally
enthusiastic and tried to enlist to the cause of Sanskrit the famous
Jesuit polyhistor Athanasius Kircher in Rome. Kircher received
bundles and bundles of information from all over the world and some
made it into his printed works. While he included five plates with
Sanskrit alphabet into his China Illustrata, the main text of Roth’s
Sanskrit grammar remained lost in the archives of the Collegio
Romano. 53 William Jones, who looked down on Catholic missionaries
and their conversion methods, unknowingly agreed with them when
he stated that the Muselmàns and Hindus could be easily converted if
certain biblical chapters such as the Prophets, ‘particularly of Isaiah’ and one of the Gospels were translated into Sanskrit and Persian. Such translations may cause ‘a great revolution [read conversion]’.54

Sanskrit was, therefore, a repository and a witness of the Brahmanical high ‘learning, cultivation of sciences and arts ... multiplicity of philosophic and religious sects, a variety of castes and trades, a refinement of life, and a most intensive study of logic and metaphysics’.55 It is also a mother language of all Indian vernaculars such as, according to Paulinus, Ceylonica, Tamilica, Malabarica, Canara, Marathi, Telinga, Bengali, Devanagirica, Gujaratica, Nepalese and of two languages that migrated out of India such as Zendica (in Persia) and the Gypsy vernacular (in Europe).56 In the same way, Paulinus continued, Latin is the mother of Italian, French, Spanish, and Portuguese. In addition, Paulinus proved in numerous convoluted etymological derivations that Sanskrit had also at one point or another penetrated ‘Greece and Latium’.57 Here again, Paulinus takes a combative stand, in spite of the fact that his own conclusions that India, not Egypt or Greece, was the most antique civilization was not so different from, neither irreconcilable with, other scholars and writers such as William Jones whose texts he knew well. By 1798, Paulinus’s comparative method produced one of the first studies on the kinship of Indo-European languages.58 In his De antiquitate et affinitate linguae Zendicae, Sanscrdamiacae et Germanicae dissertatio, Paulinus juxtaposes linguistic forms in Sanskrit, Avestan, and German in order to prove the existence of kinship between these languages.59 In fact, Paulinus set out to prove with examples the famous claim made by Jones in 1786 of the common origin of Greek, Latin, and Sanskrit, but it was not until 1802 before he finally came up with decisive pronouncement on the issue. Thus, he wrote in his De latini sermonis, both Sanskrit, Zend, and Latin possess between them ‘an intimate affinity’ and resemble as ‘one egg to another’.60

Sanskrit was as most of the learned Orientalists would agree with Paulinus on this—a language-museum in which all true meanings were contained and often hidden behind ‘fables’ and ‘corruption’. In particular, the origin and the truth of Indian religious and philosophical ideas were to be obtained by carefully learning Sanskrit and reading ancient books. In Sidharubam, Paulinus presented Brahmanical view
of the origin of Sanskrit, simultaneous with the creation of the world. According to the story, the supreme power \textit{Ishvara} became inflamed by the power of his own imagination, and a woman he longed for, \textit{Shakti}, came out of his back. As he proceeded to create all the things in the world he said to Shakti, ‘\textit{Hum}, i.e., the interrogative ‘Will you?’ to which the Goddess replies: \textit{Om}, or \textit{ām}, both of which means: ‘Indeed, definitely, I do, so be it, amen’.\textsuperscript{61} From these two ‘particles or vowels’, insisted Paulinus, the Brahmans explained the creation of all things and the twenty-five characters (‘basic ones’) of the Sanskrit alphabet.\textsuperscript{62}

Paulinus compiled this particular creation story from the works of two of his Carmelite predecessors in the Verapoly mission, Clemens a Iesu (Peanio) and Ildephonsus a Praesentatione B. Mariae Virginis.\textsuperscript{63} What he did not know is that the story was taken from an anonymous manuscript, which has been later attributed to a Jesuit Jacome Fenicio, written in the early decades of the seventeenth century.\textsuperscript{64} What is interesting is that Fenicio’s text portrayed the fable of the divine creation as a kind of divine debauchery and fornication. Thus, Ixora (Īśvara) grew a long lingam, ‘which is a male member (\textit{membro uiril})’, because of his desire for woman, and ploughed the world with it and created mountains and seas. The same desire then grew into a form of a woman on his back. To his question ‘\textit{om}, which means do you desire (\textit{quereis}) ... the woman responded \textit{am}, which means I desire (\textit{quero})’.\textsuperscript{65}

However, in Paulinus’ text there is not a trace of the divine pornography so dear to Fenicio. It is possible that the obscenities were already ironed out by his source Ildephonsus a Praesentatione B. Mariae Virginis.\textsuperscript{66} Whatever the case, Paulinus was after proofs of Sanskrit antiquity and the first conversation between Ishvara and Shakti reminded him of something other than sex. The particles \textit{Hum} and \textit{Om} ‘entirely correspond to the text of Genesis: God said: let there be... and so it was, and ... it is this text which has been corrupted, deformed and mixed up with fables.’\textsuperscript{67} Having thus connected Christianity and Brahmanism at the very source of the divine revelation, Paulinus tried to prove that Indian gods were nothing but natural phenomena: Brahma is earth, Vishnu water, and Shiva fire.\textsuperscript{68}

In spite of his missionary duties, it is these relics of the past that Paulinus tried to preserve, if only in his books and in his museum. In fact, the preservation of the ancient Indian life and wisdom was the
prime task of the Brahmans. They were ready to give life in this effort.\textsuperscript{69}
In a way similar to some of the Jesuit missionaries of the seventeenth century, Paulinus also strove to replace the Brahmans. The first stage in this process was to take control of their learned language and their books.

REPLACING BRAHMANS

The first to take professional interest in Indian ‘pagan’ books were Jesuits. They were also the first to learn and teach vernacular languages. It became clear very early to the Portuguese in Goa that books considered sacred were kept by the Brahmans who treasured them and kept them hidden from all intruders. The way to procure such books was usually by theft, plunder, or by converting the Brahmans. A well-known Jesuit writer Luís Fróis, famous for his \textit{História de Japam}, wrote of one such incident while he was still in Goa in 1559.\textsuperscript{70} A learned young Brahman not only converted to Christianity, but he led the Viceroy’s army to a house of a Sanskrit pundit who had a whole library of old books. With these books in his possession, the converted Brahman who took a Portuguese name of Manuel d’Oliveira, translated ‘in a few days all the main things’. This particular pattern of acquiring Indian ‘sacred’ books was quite common in Goa in the sixteenth century. It was not until the end of the seventeenth and in the eighteenth centuries that Europeans noticed that these books were also for sale.\textsuperscript{71} Paulinus bought manuscripts from a person who was entrusted with safekeeping a library left by a Brahman fleeing the army of the Tipu Sultan.\textsuperscript{72} That books and manuscripts were a cherished booty is seen from a letter by Charles Wilkins who hoped that that library of the Tipu Sultan defeated by the British in 1799, would be given to the Orientalists in Calcutta.\textsuperscript{73} Catholic missionaries in Pondicherry and Chandernagor were encouraged to acquire and send manuscripts, paintings, books, and other curious objects for the Royal Library in Paris. The Propaganda Fide missionaries were also supposed to collect texts and objects for the display in Rome. With the rise in demand and good amounts of money offered in cash for such commodities, more books, paintings, and other objects became available for sale. Anybody with money and interest could buy and sell in, what Muzaffar Alam and Seema Alavi call, the ‘oriental book
bazaar’ of the eighteenth-century north India. This bazaar was not merely about commercial transactions. It was a space of intellectual sociability in which pandits and Persianate scholars came into direct contact with European and, most importantly, British administrators. With the collapse of indigenous patrons, various kinds of oriental literati offered their services and books to the English Company and its new breed of Orientalist administrators.

While the local literati could move to Calcutta or to other centers of learning set up by the British, many books and material objects moved directly to Europe. Sometimes even without being read or copied in India. In one of the comments printed in the Paulinus’ French edition of Viaggio, Anquetil Duperron provides an interesting history of a large collection of the Zend Avesta manuscripts, ‘hundred and thirty-seven volumes in all’, purchased by Samuel Guise from the widow of a Parsi scholar Destour Darab who was Anquetil Duperron’s Persian and Zend Avesta teacher in Surat. Paulinus may have met Samuel Guise who was a surgeon in Anjengo, the first English East India Company settlement in Travancore, between 1783 and 1784. In his Examen Historico-criticum, Paulinus listed Guise’s collection of oriental manuscripts among one of the four most important collections in Europe (that he knew of). This lead Anquetil Duperron to exclaim with some indignation and a lot of irony, ‘it is England now rich in Zend and Pahlavi works’.

All European merchants, collectors and Orientalists dreamt of nothing else but repatriating Asian riches to Europe. William Jones desired to ‘transfer to Europe all the sciences, arts, and literature of Asia’. He also deplored the fact that his official duties of a court judge in Calcutta prevented him from working in leisure on his translations and research. A missionary in India was not less busy with his everyday duties and obligations, but, of course, could not complain about it. Even when Paulinus came back to Rome, he was certainly not a ‘leisured gentleman’ scholar. He was teaching, preparing various books for print, compiling catalogues and participating in an ever-complicated political life in Rome. He could not even dream, as William Jones did, of retiring to a quiet life of an independent scholar in England. Paulinus was certainly in agreement with Jones that time was, indeed, in short supply if one were to invest it in learning Indian sciences, but he also presumed that what was needed were
‘subjects (Soggetti) who know the language’ and money. The missionaries were chronically lacking money and technically did not have ‘subjects (soggetti)’ but pastoral ‘charges’. In addition, Paulinus’s ‘learned charges’ were not necessarily Sanskrit scholars since they were St Thomas Christians.

From Anquetil Duperron’s perspective of a scholar who was neither a colonial administrator nor a missionary, ‘the English have time and money’ and human resources to prepare and publish a book on Indian Botany. He insisted in particular on the fact that there were already enough British administrator-scholars who knew Sanskrit. Paulinus was quite consistent in underestimating and ridiculing his contemporary Orientalists in Calcutta. He slashed Wilkins’s translation of Bhagavadgita: ‘How can a European have courage to translate from Sanskrit without grammar, without Sanskrit syntax that demands at least twelve years of study?’ In fact, he was suspicious of all translations from Sanskrit in particular. ‘There are so many ridiculous things printed in Europe’, he exclaimed, instead of original Indian works. Anquetil Duperron agreed to a point with Paulinus since he also thought that without Sanskrit grammars and dictionaries printed, ‘Europe will remain completely ignorant of all Indian things’. What exactly Paulinus meant by ‘original Indian works’ is, however, ambiguously unclear, since he himself printed only translations, his own translations. From what follows in the text, it seems that what he meant by ‘original’ may be expressed by another epithet—authentic. Indian authenticity was its antiquity since India was, according to Paulinus, the only ‘antique’ nation that preserved ‘until today’ its old language, books, poetry, rites, and customs.

Excessive eagerness to find ancient books made Europeans vulnerable to frauds. Paulinus denounced the book, the Ezour-Vedam, that impressed Voltaire so much and armed him with deist arguments against the Catholic Church. He claimed as did Pierre Sonnerat in his Voyage aux Indes Orientales et à la Chine, published in 1782, that it was a work of a Catholic missionary, and therefore, neither ancient nor Indian. If the Jesuits or one of their converts tried to dupe Europeans, the Brahmans were even more dangerous falsifiers according to Paulinus. He quotes a work in Persian, Azret hist & Azret musa, written by a Brahman against Christian teaching in North India that fell into the hands of the Capuchin Tibetan mission.
to missionary presence in India in terms of written books with refutation of Christianity were, unfortunately for historians, rather rare, almost nonexistent in the sixteenth and the seventeenth-century. In the southern Jesuit missions the only pamphlets and published texts that opposed their methods and their teaching came from the Lutheran camp in Tranquebar. Especially the learned Brahmans were insistently silent on Christianity that gained some ground among the lower echelons of the society.

The early Jesuit Orientalists such as Roberto Nobili whose method of conversion inaugurated the Malabar rites controversy, tried to work out a way to replace the Brahmans as the cultural, religious and intellectual leaders of society. The principle of the ‘accommodation’ displace through imitation or partial strategic mimesis. Through his learning of languages (Tamil, Telugu, and Sanskrit), he wanted to change from inside—according to the famous Ignatian formula, entrar con el otro y salir consigo—all the books pertaining to religious and theological precepts of the Brahmans in order to bring them closer to Christian theology. Missionary ‘pseudo-Vedas’ or the ‘pseudo-puranas’ were intended deliberately to replace existing ‘heathen’ narratives and stories. Hence, they were designed to correct certain key ideas in the Brahanical religious ‘system’ in order to bring out from the ‘heathen material’ the pristine (i.e. Christian) meanings hidden away by the cunning and avaricious religious specialists.

Compared to Nobili, Paulinus is already far from this kind of militantly corrective ‘missionary Orientalism’ and closer to his contemporaries, Orientalists in Calcutta. He did write, however, one major work in Malayalam, The Life of St. Theresa (mar tresiya punyastriyude caritram). It is equally true that Paulinus’ printed texts—such as his Sanskrit dictionary and grammar, and his Systema Brahanicum—were potentially useful to future missionaries and were written for them, but they were also texts inspired by the Asiatick Researches, by Anquetil Duperron and by other Orientalist scholars in India and Europe. One of the characteristic practices of the new Orientalists was to dissociate the traditional keepers of the texts, the Brahmans, from the ‘ancient texts’ of their tradition. The ‘antiquity’ of the Indian literary or sacred texts dis-authorized, in Orientalist view, those who were merely their transmitters. It was often repeated
that the Brahmans who knew by heart the Vedas and were able to recite them did not understand their meanings.

THE END OF THE CATHOLIC MISSIONARY ORIENTALISM, ROME, NINETEENTH CENTURY

In the nineteenth century, a purely ‘ocular’ authority of the travellers and missionaries was on the wane in terms of its capacity to shore up a new type of ‘scientifič’ episteme englobing the Orient. Being there and seeing with one’s own eyes was not a prerequisite for acquiring the new type of knowledge based on linguistic expertise. Paulinus is aware of this, although at times he uses this older type of authority to bash his critics. Thus he treated those who travelled through and stayed in India for years without learning even a vernacular language as ‘ambulant suitcases (bauli ambulanti)’. Those who did not know Sanskrit were equally uninformed. For example, Paulinus described a ritual of yajña, or as he called it, il sacrifiizio Yàga, unknown to the Capuchin missionary Marco della Tomba who called it a hoax, ‘impostura’. Paulinus explained that if Marco never heard of it, the reason was that he did not know Sanskrit and never read Amarasinha. Therefore, to ‘discover’ this major Vedic sacrifice, it was sufficient to read authentic books in original languages in Europe, without ever visiting India.

The Calcutta Orientalists were, of course, based in Bengal, but for them as well, authority was not located in being there but in reading the right type of books and in avoiding to be duped by avaricious interpreters. The production of knowledge moved further and farther away from the sites and people involved in ‘data collection’. ‘The centers of calculation’, as far as Indological sciences were concerned, moved to the nineteenth-century Europe.

Well, Paulinus obviously also moved in the right direction, but not the right capital, or not quite. In terms of materials produced on India, from the Jesuit collections of letters and treatises, to manuscripts and books published by travellers and by the missionaries of the Propaganda Fide, Rome was probably one of the richest European capitals. However, many of the documents were not easily accessible for various reasons. On the other hand, the latter part of the
eighteenth century was the period in which museums and collections of antiquities and curiosities came into fashion supported by patrons who were some of the richest and the most influential Papal officials.

Stefano Borgia was an emblematic figure combining in his person all qualities of an eighteenth century Roman mecenae. He was from a rich aristocratic family, himself an amateur antiquarian and historian as well as a talented Papal administrator. On his family property in Velletri, Borgia founded a museum of antiquities and relics of the ‘ancient’ civilizations such as coins, manuscripts and artwork. It was with Borgia's encouragement and protection, since he was an influential member of the Propaganda Fide that Paulinus was able to work on his publications. Paulinus's comparative perspective was further developed through the access to materials and scholars working on Coptic, Egyptian, Greeks and Roman archeology and literature. His printed books are full of references to various Velletri collections and catalogues.

Paulinus belonged, therefore, to a certain community of scholars, all of whom belonged to Stefano Borgia's patronage network. It is clear that their common interest and expertise in antiquities and classical learning defined the topics and agreed-upon set of procedures. For example, the *lingua franca* of their enterprise was Latin, rather then the vernacular Italian. 'Borgia's' scholars were also often employed in cataloguing, ordering, classifying, and describing the items in the collections rather then 'speculating' about larger philosophical and theological issues of the day such as the origins of language, comparative religion, and ethnography. They were not prevented from writing scholarly articles on whatever topic they chose, but their work in the Velletri Museum was mostly antiquarian research.

Ancient, primitive, and exotic were closely linked in the eighteenth century antiquarianism, especially when applied to non-European 'antiquities'. For Paulinus the whole Brahmanical civilization was a relic of a past and thus worth studying in its entirety. In spite of excellent material provided by the Roman libraries and archives, Paulinus did not have a sufficiently numerous community of Indologists in Rome and in Italy in general. In a hostile comment, Anquetil Duperron remarked that for Paulinus 'all his science comes from the Propaganda Fide library, Museum of Cardinal Borgia, from F. Hanxleden [the Sanskrit grammar] and from *Asiatick Researches* in Calcutta, whether
he understands it or not." It seems that some other scholars from Borgia’s network felt the same lack of intellectual stimulation. Jakob Georg Christian Adler wrote in his *Kurze Übersicht seiner bibl.-krit. Reise nach Rom* (Hamburg-Altona 1783) that in Rome there were excellent libraries for learning Oriental languages, but that there were no scholars among the Orientals.98

Knowledge in Rome was stored in the libraries, museums and books accessible to the scholars who had permission to use them.99 Finally, all knowledge about the past and about other peoples was part of an ‘ecumenical’ and universal Catholic mission of which Borgia and some other ‘enlightened’ cardinals dreamt of on the eve of the French Revolution.

Paulinus’s archival and comparativist work was, therefore, torn between two differently articulated projects. For Borgia and his Catholic universal mission, Paulinus was a ‘conservationist’ or curator of documents and objects that were to prove what the Church already knew, and to prevent theories that would argue against the basic Catholic dogmas. A wide variety of opinions was allowed within this fixed framework. Thus Paulinus could exchange punches with Father Antonio Giorgi on the meaning of Brahmanical religion or write against Anquetil Duperron, Jones and Voltaire. At the same time, as an Orientalist, Paulinus ‘belonged’ to an international community of scholars who worked on the same or similar texts and issues. This Orientalist community in Bengal and Europe was also Christian, but it prided itself on scientific, secular epistemologies and mistrusted in particular Catholic missionaries in India. Paulinus felt snubbed by the British and French Orientalists, but he also often agreed with their conclusions and even invited them to come and consult his books in Rome.100

None of the Orientalist came to Rome, very few read his books and after his death in 1806 and the dispersal of the Borgia Museum in 1814, Paulinus’s Orientalist treasures sank into oblivion. Rome became a backwater on the map of the nineteenth-century Orientalist scholars. With a loss of political autonomy through French invasion and the internal ‘revolutions’, the patronage network for missionary Orientalists disintegrated. A new kind of professional Orientalists such as Count Angelo de Gubernatis in the late nineteenth century
and Giuseppe Tucci in the twentieth had more in common with British, German, and French Orientalists than with their immediate Catholic missionary predecessors like Paulinus.¹⁰¹
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